# some physical implications of almost commutative manifolds

## maírí sakellaríadou



kíng's college london uníversíty of london





## cosmology

EU models tested with

- astrophysical data (CMB)
- high energy experiments (LHC)

despite the golden era of cosmology, a number of questions:

origin of DE / DM



search for natural and well-motivated inflationary model (alternatives...)



are still awaiting for a definite answer







noncommutative spectral geometry

$$S^{E} = \int \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa_{0}^{2}} R + \alpha_{0} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \gamma_{0} + \tau_{0} R^{\star} R^{\star} + \frac{1}{4} G^{i}_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu i} + \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{4} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} |D_{\mu}\mathbf{H}|^{2} - \mu_{0}^{2} |\mathbf{H}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} |D_{\mu}\mathbf{H}|^{2} - \mu_{0}^{2} |\mathbf{H}|^{2} - \xi_{0} R |\mathbf{H}|^{2} + \lambda_{0} |\mathbf{H}|^{4} \right) \sqrt{g} d^{4}x ,$$

# $Tr(f(D_A/\Lambda))$

f: cut-off function I its taylor expansion at zero vanishes the asymptotic expansion of the trace reduces to:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\frac{D_{\mathsf{A}}}{\Lambda}\right)\right) \sim 2\Lambda^4 f_4 a_0 + 2\Lambda^2 f_2 a_2 + f_0 a_4$$

f plays a rôle through its momenta  $f_0, f_2, f_4$ 

real parameters related to the coupling constants at unification, the gravitational constant, and the cosmological constant

- full SM lagrangían
- majorana mass terms for right-handed neutrinos
- gravitational terms coupled to matter

> EH action with a cosmological term

> topological term

» conformal gravity term with the weyl curvature tensor

» conformal coupling of higgs to gravity

the coefficients of the gravitational terms depend upon the yukawa parameters of the particle physics content bosoníc

 $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}[\lambda_{\mu}^{*}(0)]^{2} - \mu_{0}^{2}[(0)]^{2} - \xi_{0}\lambda_{0}[(0)]^{2} + \lambda_{0}[(0)]^{4}]_{2}/g d^{4}x$ 

 $= \frac{12\pi^{2}}{96\beta\lambda\lambda^{2} - f_{0}c^{2}}$   $= \frac{3\beta}{10\pi^{2}}$   $= \frac{1}{10\pi^{2}} \left( \frac{18\beta\lambda\lambda^{2} - \beta\lambda\lambda^{2}c + \frac{\beta}{40}}{\pi^{2}} \right),$   $= \frac{11\beta}{60\pi^{2}} \left( \frac{18\beta\lambda\lambda^{2} - \beta\lambda\lambda^{2}c + \frac{\beta}{40}}{60\pi^{2}} \right),$   $= \frac{11\beta}{60\pi^{2}} \left( \frac{18\beta\lambda\lambda^{2} - \beta\lambda\lambda^{2}c + \frac{\beta}{40}}{\pi^{2}} \right),$ 

bare action a la wislon

$$\mathbf{H} = (\sqrt{af_0}/\pi)\phi$$

 $\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{e}$  describe possible choices of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ 

yukawa parameters and majorana terms for  $~
u_{
m R}$ 

gravitational & coupling between Higgs field and Ricci curvature equations of motion

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R + \frac{1}{\beta^2}\delta_{\rm cc}\left[2C^{\mu\lambda\nu\kappa}_{;\lambda;\kappa} + C^{\mu\lambda\nu\kappa}R_{\lambda\kappa}\right] = \kappa_0^2\delta_{\rm cc}T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm matter}$$

$$\beta^2 \equiv -\frac{1}{4\kappa_0^2 \alpha_0} \quad \delta_{\rm cc} \equiv [1 - 2\kappa_0^2 \xi_0 \mathbf{H}^2]^{-1} \qquad \alpha_0 = \frac{-3f_0}{10\pi^2}$$

gravitational & coupling between Higgs field and Ricci curvature equations of motion

neglect nonminimal coupling between geometry and higgs

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R + \frac{1}{\beta^2}\delta_{\rm cc}\left[2C^{\mu\lambda\nu\kappa}_{;\lambda;\kappa} + C^{\mu\lambda\nu\kappa}R_{\lambda\kappa}\right] = \kappa_0^2\delta_{\rm cc}T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm matter}$$

$$\beta^2 \equiv -\frac{1}{4\kappa_0^2 \alpha_0} \quad \delta_{\rm cc} \equiv [1 - 2\kappa_0^2 \xi_0 \mathbf{H}^2]^{-1} \qquad \alpha_0 = \frac{-3f_0}{10\pi^2}$$

FLRW weyl tensor vanishes **T** NCSG corrections to einstein equations vanish

gravitational & coupling between Higgs field and Ricci curvature equations of motion neglect nonminimal coupling between geometry and higgs corrections to einstein's eqs. will be apparent at leading order, only in anisotropic models bianchí model: NCSG corrections to einstein's eqs. are present only in inhomogeneous and anisotropic spacetimes

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{diag}\left[-1, \{a_1(t)\}^2 e^{-2nz}, \{a_2(t)\}^2 e^{-2nz}, \{a_3(t)\}^2\right]$$

+-

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_0^2 T_{00} &= \\ -\dot{A}_3 \left( \dot{A}_1 + \dot{A}_2 \right) - n^2 e^{-2A_3} \left( \dot{A}_1 \dot{A}_2 - 3 \right) \\ &+ \frac{8\alpha_0 \kappa_0^2 n^2}{3} e^{-2A_3} \left[ 5 \left( \dot{A}_1 \right)^2 + 5 \left( \dot{A}_2 \right)^2 - \left( \dot{A}_3 \right)^2 \right. \\ &- \dot{A}_1 \dot{A}_2 - \dot{A}_2 \dot{A}_3 - \dot{A}_3 \dot{A}_1 - \ddot{A}_1 - \ddot{A}_2 - \ddot{A}_3 + 3 \right] \\ &- \frac{4\alpha_0 \kappa_0^2}{3} \sum_i \left\{ \dot{A}_1 \dot{A}_2 \dot{A}_3 \dot{A}_i \right. \\ &+ \dot{A}_i \dot{A}_{i+1} \left( \left( \dot{A}_i - \dot{A}_{i+1} \right)^2 - \dot{A}_i \dot{A}_{i+1} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left( \ddot{A}_i + \left( \dot{A}_i \right)^2 \right) \left[ - \ddot{A}_i - \left( \dot{A}_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \ddot{A}_{i+1} + \ddot{A}_{i+2} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \dot{A}_{i+1} \right)^2 + \left( \dot{A}_{i+2} \right)^2 \right) \right] \\ \left[ \ddot{A}_i + 3\dot{A}_i \ddot{A}_i - \left( \ddot{A}_i + \left( \dot{A}_i \right)^2 \right) \left( \dot{A}_i - \dot{A}_{i+1} - \dot{A}_{i+2} \right) \right] \\ &\times \left[ 2\dot{A}_i - \dot{A}_{i+1} - \dot{A}_{i+2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$A_i(t) = \ln a_i(t)$$

at energies approaching higgs scale, the nonminimal coupling of higgs field to curvature cannot be neglected

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R = \kappa_0^2 \left[\frac{1}{1-\kappa_0^2 |\mathbf{H}|^2/6}\right] T_{\text{matter}}^{\mu\nu}$$

newspective gravitational constant

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\mathbf{H}|} = -\underbrace{\left(\frac{R}{12}|\mathbf{H}|^{2}\right)}_{12} + \frac{1}{2}|D^{\alpha}\mathbf{H}||D^{\beta}\mathbf{H}|g_{\alpha\beta} - \underbrace{\mu_{0}|\mathbf{H}|^{2}}_{0} + \lambda_{0}|\mathbf{H}|^{4}$$

$$\longrightarrow -\mu_{0}|\mathbf{H}|^{2} \rightarrow -\left(\mu_{0} + \frac{R}{12}\right)|\mathbf{H}|^{2}$$

$$\implies increases the higgs mass$$

#### <u>remarks</u>

 $\Box\Box$ 

redefine higgs: 
$$ilde{\phi} = -\ln\left(|\mathbf{H}|/(2\sqrt{3})
ight)$$

—> rewríte híggs lagrangían ín terms of 4dím dílatoníc gravíty

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\phi}} = e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} \left[ -R + \delta D^{\alpha} \tilde{\phi} D^{\beta} \tilde{\phi} g_{\alpha\beta} - 12 \left( \mu_0 - 12\lambda_0 e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} \right) \right]$$

link with compactified string models

#### <u>chameleon models</u>

scalar field with nonminimal coupling to standard matter

#### NCSG

scalar field (higgs) with nonzero coupling to bokg geometry mass & dynamics of higgs dependent on local matter content

línk wíth chameleon cosmology



# gravitational waves in NCSG





nelson, ochoa, sakellaríadou, PRD 82 (2010) 085021

nelson, ochoa, sakellaríadou, PRL <u>105</u> (2010) 101602

lambíase, sakellaríadou, stabíle, arXív:1.302.2336

línear perturbations around minkowski background in synchronous gauge:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\left(\{a(t)\}^2 \left[-1, \left(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(x)\right)\right]\right) \quad a(t) = 1 \quad \nabla_i h^{ij} = 0$$

$$\left(\Box - \beta^2\right) \Box h^{\mu\nu} = \beta^2 \frac{16\pi G}{c^4} T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{matter}}$$

with conservation eqs:

 $\beta^2$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} T^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = 0$$
$$= -\frac{1}{32\pi G\alpha_0}$$

 $lpha_0=rac{-3f_0}{10\pi^2}$ 

$$rac{g_3^2 f_0}{2\pi^2} = rac{1}{4} \hspace{0.5cm} g_3^2 = g_2^2 = rac{5}{3}g_1^2$$

nelson, ochoa, sakellaríadou, PRD 82 (2010) 085021

energy lost to gravitational radiation by orbiting binaries:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{E}}{\mathrm{d}t}\approx\frac{c^2}{20G}|\mathbf{r}|^2\dot{h}_{ij}\dot{h}^{ij}$$

strong deviations from GR at frequency scale  $2\omega_c\equiv~eta c\sim(f_0G)^{-1/2}c$  set by the moments of the test function f scale at which NCSG effects become dominant

nelson, ochoa, sakellaríadou, PRD 82 (2010) 085021

restrict  $\beta$  by requiring that the magnitude of deviations from GR must by less than the uncertainty  $\sim$ 

Binary	Distance	Orbital	Eccentricity	GR
	(pc)	Period (hr)		(%)
PSR J0737-3039	$\sim 500$	2.454	0.088	0.2
PSR J1012-53	$\overline{}$ $\overline{755}$	$ > 10^{-13} $	$m - 1^{10^{-6}}$	10
PSR J1141-6545	/ 1.00	A 10 1	0.17	6
PSR B1916 + 16	$\sim 6400$	7.752	0.617	0.1
PSR B1534+12	$\sim 1100$	10.1	?	1
PSR B2127+11C	$\sim 9980$	8.045	0.68	3
				-

nelson, ochoa, sakellaríadou, PRL <u>105</u> (2010) 101602

accuracy to which the rate of change of orbital period agrees with predictions of GR

# gravity probe B

the satellite contains a set of gyroscopes in low circular polar orbit with altitude h=650 km

geodesic precession in the orbital plane lense-thirring (frame dragging) precession in the plane of earth equator

Effect	Measured	Predicted
Geodesic precession	$6602 \pm 18$	6606
Lense-Thirring precession	$37.2\pm7.2$	39.2

míllíarcsec/yr

GR

e.o.m. for gyro spín 3 vector S:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}\Big|_{\mathbf{G}} + \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}\Big|_{\mathbf{LT}}$$

metric: 
$$ds^2 = -(1+2\Phi)dt^2 + 2\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x}dt + (1+2\Psi)d\mathbf{x}^2$$

## instantaneous geodesic precession

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}\Big|_{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{G}} \wedge \mathbf{S} \text{ with } \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{G}} = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla(\Phi - 2\Psi)] \wedge \mathbf{v}$$



instantaneous lense-thirring precession

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}\Big|_{\mathrm{LT}} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{LT}} \wedge \mathbf{S} \text{ with } \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{LT}} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}$$



# ínstantaneous geodesíc precessíon

$$\Omega_{\text{geodesic}} = \Omega_{\text{geodesic}(\text{GR})} + \Omega_{\text{geodesic}(\text{NCG})}$$

	Effect	Measured	Predicte
	Geodesic precession	$6602 \pm 18$	6606
$\Omega_{\text{reodesic}}$	(2R) = 6606  mas/v Lense-Thirring precession	$37.2\pm7.2$	39.2
guardia			
requíre	$ \Omega_{\text{geodesic}(\text{NCG})}  \leq \delta \Omega_{\text{geodesic}}$		
with	$\delta\Omega_{ m geodesic} = 18   { m mas/y}$		
	$eta \gtrsim 1.1  imes 10^{-8} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$		
	lambíase, sakellaríadou, stabíle, ar	Xív:1302.2.	336

inflation through the nonminimal coupling between the geometry and the higgs field

proposal: the higgs field, could play the role of the inflaton but

GR: to get the amplitude of density perturbations, the higgs mass would have to be 11 orders of magnitude **higher** 

re-examine the validity of this statement within NCSG

nelson, sakellaríadou, PLB <u>680</u> (2009) 263

buck, faírbaírn, sakellaríadou, PRD <u>82</u> (2010) 043509

## aim: flat potential through 2-loop quantum corrections of SM

# effective potential at high energies:



for each value of  $m_{
m top}$  there is a value of  $m_{
m higgs}$  where  $V_{
m eff}$  is on the verge of developing a metastable mínímum at large values of  ${f H}$ and Vhiggs is locally flattened

#### *approach*

- calculate renormalisation of higgs self-coupling
- construct  $V_{
  m eff}$  which fits the RG potential around flat region

### analytic fit to the higgs potential in the region around the minimum:

$$V^{\text{eff}} = \lambda_0^{\text{eff}}(H)H^4$$
$$= [a\ln^2(b\kappa H) + c]H^4$$



$$a(m_{\rm t}) = 4.04704 \times 10^{-3} - 4.41909 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{m_{\rm t}}{\rm GeV}\right) + 1.24732 \times 10^{-7} \left(\frac{m_{\rm t}}{\rm GeV}\right)^2$$
$$b(m_{\rm t}) = \exp\left[-0.979261 \left(\frac{m_{\rm t}}{\rm GeV} - 172.051\right)\right]$$

 $c=c(m_{
m t},m_{\phi})$  encodes the appearance of an extremum an extremum occurs iff  $\ c/a \leq 1/16$ 

running of the self-coupling at two-loops: Slow-roll conditions satisfied BUT CMB constraints lead to incompatible top quark mass



 $\epsilon$  needs to be too small to allow for sufficient e-folds, and then  $(V_\star/\epsilon_\star)^{1/4}$  becomes too large to fit the CMB constraint

buck, faírbaírn, sakellaríadou, PRD <u>82</u> (2010) 043509

can we have inflation without introducing a scalar field?

the arbitrary mass scale in the spectral action for the Dirac operator can be made dynamical by introducing a dilaton field,

 $\overline{\mathcal{D}}/\Lambda 
ightarrow e^{-\Phi/2} \mathcal{D} e^{-\Phi/2}$ 



#### <u>crítícísms</u>

- símple almost commutative space extend to less trivial noncommutative geometries
- purely classical model

it cannot be used within EU when QC cannot be neglected

 action functional obtained through perturbative approach in inverse powers of cut-off scale

how good is this approximation?

model developed in euclidean signature

physical studies must be done in lorentzian signature

the doubling of the algebra is related to dissipation and the gauge field structure

canonícal formalísm for díssípatíve systems

x-system: open (díssípatíng) \_\_\_\_\_system

 $m\ddot{x}(t)+\gamma\dot{x}(t)=f(t)$ 

 $\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L_f}{\partial \dot{y}} = \frac{\partial L_f}{\partial y} ; \quad \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L_f}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L_f}{\partial x}$ 

$$L_f(\dot{x}, \dot{y}, x, y) = m\dot{x}\dot{y} + \frac{\gamma}{2}(x\dot{y} - y\dot{x}) + fy$$

 $\eta$ 

$$n\ddot{x} + \gamma \dot{x} = f$$
,  $m\ddot{y} - \gamma \dot{y} = 0$ 

sakellaríadou, stabíle, vítíello, PRD 84 (2011) 045026

the doubling of the algebra is related to dissipation and the gauge field structure

$$L = rac{m}{2}(\dot{x}_1^2 - \dot{x}_2^2) + rac{e}{2}(\dot{x}_1A_1 + \dot{x}_2A_2) - e\Phi$$

$$A_i = \frac{B}{2} \epsilon_{ij} x_j \quad (i, j = 1, 2)$$

$$\Phi \equiv (k/2/e)(x_1^2 - x_2^2)$$

• doubled coordinate, e.g.  $x_2$  acts as gauge field component  $A_1$  to which  $x_1$  coordinate is coupled

- energy dissipated by one system is gained by the other one
- gauge field as bath/reservoir in which the system is embedded

sakellaríadou, stabíle, vítíello, PRD 84 (2011) 045026

## dissipation, may lead to a quantum evolution

't hooft's conjecture: loss of information (dissipation) in a regime of deterministic dynamics may lead to QM evolution

$$m\ddot{x} + \gamma\dot{x} + kx = 0$$
  $m\ddot{y} - \gamma\dot{y} + ky = 0$   
 $H = H_{I} - H_{I}$   $H_{II} |\psi\rangle = 0$  infold

**DSS** 

to define physical states and guarantie that H is bounded from below physical states are invariant under time reversal and periodical (  $\tau$  )

$$_{H}\langle\psi(\tau)|\psi(0)
angle_{H}=e^{i\phi}=e^{ilpha\pi}$$

$$\langle \psi_n(\tau) | H | \psi_n(\tau) \rangle = \hbar \Omega (n + \frac{\alpha}{2}) = \hbar \Omega n + E_0$$

dissipation, may lead to a quantum evolution

díssípatíon term in H of classical damped-amplified oscillators manifests itself as geometric phase and leads to zero point energy

$${}_{H}\langle\psi(\tau)|\psi(0)\rangle_{H} = e^{i\phi} = e^{i\alpha\pi}$$

$$\langle \psi_n(\tau) | H | \psi_n(\tau) \rangle = \hbar \Omega (n + \frac{\alpha}{2}) = \hbar \Omega n + E_0$$

# algebra doubling ----- deformed hopf algebra

- define coproduct operators
- build bogogliubov operators as linear combinations of coproduct ones

transformation linking mass annihilation/creation operators with flavor ones is a rotation combined with bogogliubov transformations

field mixing rests on the algebraic structure of the deformed coproduct in NC hopf algebra embedded in algebra doubling of NCSG

gargíulo, sakellaríadou, vítíello; arXív:1.305.0659

other cosmological applications? role of scalar fields? inflation?

given the recent developments of NCSG with the pati-salam model, let me briefly describe issues related to:

- phase transitions associated with spontaneously borken symmetries, leading to topological defect formation as false vacuum remnants
- Inflationary models

thermal history of the universe

 $G_{\rm SM} = SU(3)_{\rm C} \times SU(2)_{\rm L} \times U(1)_{\rm Y}$ 

$$G \to H_1 \to H_2 \to \cdots \to G_{\mathrm{SM}}$$

study homotopy  $\,\pi_k({\mathcal M}_n)\,$  group of false vacuum  $\,\,{\mathcal M}_n=G/H\,$ 

 $\pi_k(\mathcal{M}_n) 
eq 0$  topological defects

k=0lower<br/>domain walls<math>k=1lower<br/>cosmic strings<math>k=2lower<br/>monopoles

$$4_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} Z_{2}^{C} \begin{cases} I \xrightarrow{M_{GUT}} M_{GUT} H_{1} \frac{M_{infl}}{\Phi_{+}\Phi_{-}} H_{2} \longrightarrow G_{SM} \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{GUT}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} Z_{2}^{C} \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{GUT}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \frac{2}{2} (2) G_{SM} (Z_{2}) \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 4_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} Z_{2}^{C} \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 4_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} Z_{2}^{C} \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 4_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} Z_{2}^{C} \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 4_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} \longrightarrow Eq. (4.10) \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 4_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 3_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{C} 3_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L} \xrightarrow{M_{C}} I \\ I \xrightarrow{M_{C}} 3_{L} 1_{R} 1_{L} 1_{$$

can we accommodate inflation within minimal SO(10)?

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{SO}(10) \to \dots \to \mathbb{G}_{3,2,2,\mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{L}} \to \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{SM}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{SU}(3)_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{U}(1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ & \mathbb{SO}(10) \to \dots \to \mathbb{G}_{3,2,\mathbb{L},\mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{L}} \to \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{SM}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{SU}(2)_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{U}(1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{W}_{\rm H} = & m \, \Phi^2 + \lambda \, \Phi^3 + m_H \, H^2 + m_\Sigma \, \Sigma \bar{\Sigma} + \eta \, \Phi \Sigma \bar{\Sigma} + \Phi H (\alpha \, \Sigma + \bar{\alpha} \, \bar{\Sigma}) \\ &+ m_\Omega \, \Omega^2 + \beta \, H \Phi \Omega + \gamma \, \Omega^2 \Phi + \Omega \Phi (\zeta \, \Sigma + \bar{\zeta} \, \bar{\Sigma}) \; . \end{split}$$

none of the singlets of SM symmetries in minimal set of SO(10) rep. can satisfy conditions for scalar field to be inflaton

caccíapaglía, sakellaríadou, arXív:1306.3242

#### final remarks/questions

• what are the new fields in the pati-salam model within the NCSG approach?

• what can we say for inflation? can any of the new fields play the role of the inflaton?

• can we accommodate inflation at the last stage of phase transition accompanied bt SSB during which unwanted defects get formed?